Appl. No. 09/447,301

Amdt. Dated October 17, 2006

Reply to Office Action of April 17, 2006

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the prior art rejections set forth by

the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. sections 102 and 103. Applicant respectfully submits that the

prior art references of record, whether considered alone, or in combination, fail to either teach

or suggest Applicants presently claimed invention. More specifically, by the present

amendment, Applicant has modified the independent claims to further specify and that a read-

out gate is provided to transfer signal charges from the sensor array to the accumulation gate.

Neither Elabd nor any other reference of record describes the structure as now specified in

each of the independent claims wherein a read-out gate transfers signal charges from a sensor

array to an accumulation gate and the accumulation gate subsequently transfers the signal

charges to the transfer registers.

Applicant has also added additional dependent claims 15-16 which alternately specify

that the gate material for the read-out gate and the accumulation gate is comprised of the

same material. Additionally, new claims 17-18 have been added which alternately specify

that all of the signals transferred from the sensor of array through the read-out gate to the

accumulation gate are subsequently transferred to the transfer registers. Applicant

respectfully submits that the prior art references of record fail to teach or suggest the subject

matter of the invention as now claimed.

page 8 of 9

Appl. No. 09/447,301 Amdt. Dated October 17, 2006 Reply to Office Action of April 17, 2006

Accordingly, in light of the foregoing, Applicant submits that all claims now stand in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

10/

Robert J. Depke

ROCKEY, DEPKE, LYONS & KITZINGER, LLC.

233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 5450

Chicago, Minois 60606
Tel: (312) 277-2006
Attorney for Applicants